# Effect of Palm Fronds Ash as Stabilizing Agent on Geotechnical Properties of Expansive Soil

S.M. Elkholy<sup>1</sup>, S.S.A. AlSaleem<sup>2</sup>, A.F. Elragi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1.2</sup> Civil Engineering Department, College of Engineering, Qassim University, 51452, Saudi Arabia <sup>3</sup> Civil Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Fayoum University, Fayoum, Egypt

1 selkholy@qec.edu.sa

*Abstract*- Expansive soils exist in large areas around the world, especially in the arid and semi-arid regions. Construction activities on such problematic soil requires considering precautionary measures in order to avoid and/or minimize the risks associated with it. Research studies have proposed several measures to improve construction conditions on such soil. These measures utilize one of two techniques, either the full replacement of expansive soil layers or mitigating the expansive soil with a range of additives to improve its behavior under structures.

In this study, a new mitigating agent is introduced to be mixed with expansive soil aiming at improving its geotechnical properties. The mitigating agent is the Palm Fronds Ash [PFA]. Palm Fronds [PF] is an agricultural waste as a byproduct of cultivating palm trees. In the current study, the PFA is utilized as a stabilizing agent through mixing it with the expansive soil. Remolded samples of expansive soil mixed with 4%, 8% and 12% PFA, by weight, are prepared and tested. The results of the study showed improvement of the geotechnical properties of the tested soil mixtures. At approximately 9% by weight, content of PFA within the expansive soil the Liquid Limit (W<sub>LL</sub>) decreased by almost 40%, maximum dry density (MDD) increased by almost 5%, the unconfined compression strength (UCS) increased by almost 23%-25%, and the swelling pressure decreased by almost 88%..

*Keywords-* Expansive soil, Palm Fronds Ash [PFA], Stabilizing Agent, Unconfined Compressive Strength, Swelling Pressure.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Expansive soil is among a group of problematic soils which may be found in many project sites where civil engineers face difficulties in construction activities on it. Expansive soil has a high clay content and is rich in montomorillonite. It has very high ability in absorbing water and usually its liquid limit is more than that of ordinary clays (above 90%). Expansive clay swell upon absorption of water and shrink when dried [1], and that is why structures founded in expansive soil are more likely to be exposed to severe damage.

In order to minimize the problems expected by expansive soil, many techniques have been developed by researchers in order to stabilize the expansive soil. Soil stabilization can be accomplished by several methods, all these methods fall into two broad categories namely mechanical and chemical stabilization. Mechanical stabilization is a physical process that involves altering the physical nature of native soil particles by either induced vibrations or compaction or by incorporating other physical properties such as barriers and nailing. Chemical Stabilization depends on initiating chemical reactions between stabilizers (usually cementitious material) and soil minerals (pozzolanic materials). This usually leads to achieving the desired effect of improving the soil properties that are of interest to engineers; volume stability, strength, compressibility, permeability and durability [2, 3, and 4]. Techniques such as belled piers [5], granular pile-anchors [6], chemical stabilization with lime and fly ash [5, 7, and 8], and increasing coarse fraction in expansive soil [9] have been proposed for mitigating heave problems associated with expansive soil.

Researchers have also been looking to ways for improving the geotechnical properties of laterite clay. Laterite clay is known to contain a substantial amount of clay minerals and therefore its strength and stability under loads are questionable especially in the presences of moisture; similar to expansive soil behavior [10]. Improving the geotechnical properties of laterite clay such as unconfined compressive strength and maximum dry density have been investigated by researchers.

Nnochiri and Aderinlewo [11] investigated improving the geotechnical properties of lateritic soil stabilized with the ashes of oil palm fronds. They concluded that the Oil Palm Frond Ash (OPFA) can be classified as a pozzolanic material. The compaction results, California Bearing Ratio (CBR) and unconfined compressive strength tests indicated that the highest values were obtained at 4% OPFA content. They also concluded that the OPFA could be used as a stabilizing agent if added in the right quantity. Tingle and Santoni [12] conducted a laboratory experiment to evaluate the stabilization of high-plasticity clay soils with nontraditional chemical or liquid stabilizers to investigate its moisture–density compaction behavior. They concluded that the lime was relatively ineffective in stabilizing the high-plasticity clay based on the strength improvement criteria. The dry unconfined compressive strength (UCS) test results were significantly greater than the wet UCS test results for all specimens tested.

Sabat [13] studied the effect of using polypropylene fibers on maximum dry density (MDD), optimum moisture content (OMC), UCS, and swelling pressure of an expansive soil stabilized with rice husk ash and lime. He concluded that the addition of rice husk ash and lime decreases the MDD and increases the OMC of the expansive soil and increases the UCS of the expansive soil. With the addition of polypropylene fiber to rice husk ash-lime stabilized expansive soil, the UCS increases up to 1.5 % addition of polypropylene fiber, and decreases with further increase in polypropylene fiber content. Kennedy et al. [14] investigated the engineering properties of expansive lateritic soil with the inclusion of cement-lime and bush sugarcane fiber ash (BSFA) to improve its properties for use in subgrade and construction works. They concluded that BSFA proved to have a good pozzolana effect on expansive soil stabilization. The study results showed improvement in the compaction and strength tests results. Bush sugarcane fiber ash (BSFA) has a close nature to the Palm Frond Ash (PFA) waste material proposed for use in the current study. Saudi Arabia is one of the world largest producers of Date Palm trees. Recently, researchers in Saudi Arabia started to look at alternatives of the burning technique in disposing such agricultural waste [15]. Al-Kutti et al. [15] investigated the potential use of PFA material in an experimental study of the mechanical, durability and microstructural performance of PFA material in mortar and concrete samples. The results of the study indicated that the 10% dosage of PFA in mortar and concrete samples was optimum and improved the overall quality of concrete. Abbey et al. [16] investigated the effect of high plasticity on swell potential, swelling pressure and micro-structural characteristics of kaolinite-bentonite mixed clays. The study showed that doses of 5% and 8% cement reduced the maximum swell strain for investigated soils, irrespective of plasticity index, after 7 and 28 days of curing due to the cementation effect and reduction in void spaces.

The current study is an attempt to investigate the potential use of an agricultural waste, hugely produced in several countries around the world as stabilizing agent to improve geotechnical properties of expansive soil. This waste has no or low economic value and may pause threats to the environment, yet it could be used to improve construction conditions in sites with expansive soil layers.

## **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## 2.1 Tested soil

Expansive soil samples collected from two different sites near AlQassim region, Saudi Arabia, were used for the laboratory-testing program. The physical and engineering properties of the two soil sets were measured, according to the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards, and the results are shown in Table (1). The expansive soil samples are classified as CH (clay with high plasticity) and designated as Soil (1) and Soil (2) as shown in Table (1). The results of the lab tests in Table (1) show that the two soils have the potential to be highly expansive. Figure (1) shows the gradation of the two soils used in the in the mixtures.

Table (1): Properties of the two sets of soil used in the study

Properties	Soil (1)	Soil (2)			
Specific Gravity, Gs	2.68	2.71			
% of Gravel	0	0			
% of Sand	16	13			
% of Silt	22.6	21.5			
% of Clay	61.4	65.5			
Liquid Limit (%)	94.4	109.8			
Plastic Limit (%)	22.5	32.4			
Plasticity Index (%)	71.9	77.4			
Activity	1.17	1.18			
Unified Soil Classification System (USCS)	CH	CH			
Maximum Dry Density (MDD)	1720 (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1830 (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )			
Unconfined Compression Strength (UCS)	195 (kPa)	207 (kPa)			
Swelling Pressure (SP)	440 (kPa)	580 (kPa)			



Figure (1): Particle size gradation of the two tested expansive soils used in the study

Moreover, other geotechnical properties of the expansive soil mixtures used in the study; maximum dry density (MDD), unconfined compression strength (UCS), and swelling pressure (SP) are determined. These geotechnical properties are used as the comparison base for the soil before and after treatment in order to investigate the improvement in its engineering behavior.

## 2.2 PFA Material and its Chemical Properties

Figure (2) shows photos of Palm Fronds (PF) in its natural form (raw) shredded and powder after airdrying it. The fronds are then air-dried to before burning, and then the fronds were burnt into ashes and stored until used. Furthermore, the ashes were kept covered before and after use to preserve its properties.



Figure (2): Shredded PF and its air-dried powder

The chemical composition of the PFA were determined through chemical analysis, and the results of its mineralogical composition are shown in Table (2).

	Table 2 -	Chemical	composition	of the	PFA	material
--	-----------	----------	-------------	--------	-----	----------

Components (oxides)	PFA (%)
CaO	27.66
ZnO	1.09
MgO	2.93
P2O5	3.78
SiO <sub>3</sub>	4.69
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	15.80
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	6.41
SiO <sub>2</sub>	31.70
K <sub>2</sub> O	2.96
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.73

Table 2 shows that the PFA can be regarded as a pozzolana since it contains an appreciable amount of  $SiO_2$  (31.70%). A pozzolana is a siliceous material which by itself does not possess cementitious properties but will in finely divided form and in the presence of water react with calcium hydroxide,  $Ca(OH)_2$  to form cementitious compounds [17]. The PFA qualifies as a pozzolana since the percentage sum of its  $SiO_2$ ,  $Al_2O_3$  and  $Fe_2O_3$  components (53.91%) exceeds the minimum requirement of 50% [18].

#### 2.3 Testing Program on PFA/Expansive Soil Mixtures

Four different mixtures were prepared from each soil type shown in Table (3). The mixtures were prepared of each soil set mixed with PFA in percentages of (0%, 4%, 8%, and 12%) by weight, the 0% PFA is the control sample. The samples are marked as: Sample (SM-1), Sample (SM-2), Sample (SM-3), and Sample (SM-4), where SM indicates the tested soil type (1 and 2) and

the numbers 1-4 refer to the percentage of the Palm Frond Ash (PFA) added (0%, 4%, 8%, and 12%). Table (3) shows the samples mixtures used in the testing program.

Table (3). Th	ne soil	mixtures	used	in	the	testing	,
		program					

	1 0		
Sample (SM-1)	Sample (SM-2)	Sample (SM-3)	Sample (SM-4)
100% Soil (1) +	96% Soil (1) +	92% Soil (1) +	88% Soil (1) +
0.0% PFA	4% PFA	8% PFA	12% PFA
100% Soil (2) +	96% Soil (2) +	92% Soil (2) +	88% Soil (2) +
0.0% PFA	4% PFA	8% PFA	12% PFA

The following tests were conducted, in accordance with BS, on the prepared soil mixtures; Atterberg Limits, Compaction Tests, Unconfined Compression Tests, and Odometer Tests.

# **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 3.1 Atterberg Limits

Figure (3), a and b, shows the effect of mixing the PFA material with its pozzolanic effect on the liquid and plastic limits of the expansive soil mixtures. Figure (3a) shows that as the percentage of PFA in the soil mixture increases, the liquid limit decreases significantly. The rate of reduction in liquid limit is fast at the beginning up to almost 9 % of PFA, then the rate of reduction becomes slower. Figure (3-b) shows that as the percentage of PFA in the soil mixture increases, the plastic limit increases significantly. The rate of increase in plastic limit is comparatively faster at the beginning up to almost 9 % of PFA, then the plastic limit starts decreasing. This improvement may be attributed to the replacement of part of the fine particles of the expansive soil with the PFA with its pozzolana effect on soil consistency [9].

#### 3.2 Compaction Test Results

Figure (4), a and b, shows the results of the compaction tests for the two sets of expansive soil mixed with the PFA material.





(b)

Figure (3) Effect of % of PFA content on the liquid and plastic limits results

Figure (4-a) shows that MDD improved from the initial values of 1720 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and 1830 kg/m<sup>3</sup> for the natural soils 1 and 2 respectively to maximum values of 1810 kg/m<sup>3</sup> and 1920 kg/m<sup>3</sup> respectively before it decreases again around the PFA content of approximately 9%. The change in OMC as shown in Figure (4-b) doesn't actually show a specific trend that could be explained scientifically which means that this point needs more research. The decrease in MDD beyond 9% of PFA may be attributed to the drop in the specific gravity of the soil samples on addition of more PFA.

#### 3.3 Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS)

Figure (5) shows the values of the UCS of the natural expansive soil samples compared to the same soil samples mixed with the PFA material. For Soil (1), the UCS increases from 195 kN/m<sup>2</sup> for the natural soil to a value of 240 kN/m<sup>2</sup> at 9% PFA content, almost 23% increase. For Soil (2), the UCS increase from 207 kN/m<sup>2</sup> for natural soil to a value of 258 kN/m<sup>2</sup> at 12% PFA, almost 25% increase. Beyond 9% PFA the UCS continues to increase but the other engineering properties decrease as shown in the above results. These results are in agreement with the similar results found in the literature with different stabilizing agents [10] and [11].







Figure (4): Effect of % of PFA content on compaction test results



Figure (5): Effect of % of PFA content on UCS test results

## 3.4 Oedometer Test Results

The results of the free swell odometer tests on the two tested expansive soil sets as well as the prepared mixtures are plotted in the form of e vs log p are shown for Soil (1) and Soil (2) in Figure (6), a and b, respectively. The plots show that adding the PFA material to the two expansive soils tested has significantly influenced the resulted e vs log p relationships; that is at the same applied pressure (p) the voids ratio (e) is significantly lower. The largest reduction was achieved at the largest percentage of added PFA (12%). The influence on the values of swelling pressure (SP) are discussed in next sections to show that for the two soils, the swelling pressure decreased significantly with increasing the content percentage of PFA mixed with the expansive soil samples.





Figure (6): Results of the odometer test for soils (1) and (2)

The swelling pressure for the tested expansive soils as well as the soil mixtures were determined. The results of swelling pressure are shown in Figure (7). The percentage of change in the swelling pressure is evaluated by comparing its value before and after soil treatment. As shown in Figure (7), an increase in the percentage of PFA mixed with the expansive soil samples from 0% to 12% significantly reduced the swelling pressure (SPR) of the two sets of tested soils. For Soil (1), SPR decreased gradually with the increase in PFA content from 440 kPa to 50 kPa. For Soil (2), SPR decreased from 580 kPa to 65 kPa. The rate of reduction starts to be flat around a PFA content of 9% -10%. The reduction in SPR could be attributed to the presence of the PFA material and its pozzolana action with the swelling soil. Similar results were found in [9] using coarse grained soil mixed with the expansive soil samples.



Figure (7) Effect of % of PFA content on the SPR values

# **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

An experimental study was conducted to investigate the effect of Palm Frond Ash (PFA) on the improving some geotechnical properties of expansive soil. The lab testing program used two sets of expansive soil from two different sites near AlQassim region KSA. The expansive soils were mixed with PFA material with different percentages, by weight, (4%, 8%, and 12%). For all tests the geotechnical properties; liquid limit, plastic limit, maximum dry density (MDD), unconfined compressive strength (UCS), and consolidation odometer test results improved by different percentages. The results showed that at approximately 9% content, by weight, of PFA in the expansive soil samples, the soil Liquid Limit decreased by almost 40%, the MDD increased by almost 5% and the UCS increased by an average value of almost 24% while the swelling pressure decreased by almost 88%.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Coduto, D.P. "Geotechnical Engineering Principles and Practices", Pearson Education (Singapore) Pte ltd. Indian branch, Delhi, (2002).
- [2] El-Sayed, S.T. "Soil of Swelling and Collapsing Behavior, Mobaraz Town, Eastern Zone, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia." Proceedings of Symposium on Geotechnical Problems in Saudi Arabia, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, (1981).
- [3] Byer, J.G. "Treatment of Expansive Clay Canal Lining." Proceeding of the 4<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Expansive Soils, Denver, USA, (1980).
- [4] Sorochan, E.A. "Construction of Buildings on Expansive Soil." Proceeding of Geotechnika 5<sup>th</sup>, France (1991).
- [5] Cheng-Wei Chen, "Drained and Undrained Behavior of Fiber-Reinforced Sand", (1988).
- [6] Phanikumar, B.R. "A Study of Swelling Characteristics of a Granular Pile Anchor-Foundation System in Expansive Soils", Ph.D. Thesis Submitted to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, India, (1997).
- [7] Hunter, D. "Lime-Induced Heave in Sulfate-Bearing Clay Soils", Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, Volume 114 Issue 2, (1988).
- [8] Cokca, E. "Use of Class C Fly Ashes for the Stabilization f an Expansive Soil", Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Volume 127 Issue 7, (2001).
- [9] Elkholy, S. M. "Improving the Characteristics of Expansive Soil Using Coarse-grained Soil", Qassim Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences, Volume 1 Issue 2, (2008).
- [10] Deboch, F.M. "Recent Literatures Review on Stabilization of Lateritic Soil", International Journal of Scientific Research Engineering & Technology (IJSRET) Volume 7 Issue 11, (2018).

Technical Journal, University of Engineering and Technology (UET) Taxila, Pakistan Vol. 25 No. 2-2020 ISSN:1813-1786 (Print) 2313-7770 (Online)

- [11] Emeka Nnochiri and Olufikayo Aderinlewo "Geotechnical Properties of Lateritic Soil Stabilized with the Ashes of Oil Palm Fronds", Civil Engineering Journal Volume 4, (2016).
- [12] Tingle, J. S. and Santoni, R. L. "Stabilization of Clay Soils with Nontraditional Additives", Journal of the Transportation Research Board Volume 1819 Issue 1, (2003).
- [13] Sabat A. K. "Effect of Polypropylene Fiber on Engineering Properties of Rice Husk Ash – Lime Stabilized Expansive Soil", Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering Volume 17/E, (2012).
- [14] Kennedy, C., Prince, L. L., and Okwulehie, K. "Comparative on Strength Variance of Cement /Lime with Costus Afer Bagasse Fiber Ash Stabilized Lateritic Soil", Global Scientific Journals: Volume 6, Issue 5, (2018).
- [15] Al-Kutti, W., Saiful Islam, A.B.M., and Nasir,

M., "Potential Use of Date Palm Ash in Cement-Based Materials" Journal of King Saud University – Engineering Sciences Volume 31 Issue 1, (2017).

- [16] Abbey, S.J., Eyo, E.U. & Ng'ambi, S., "Swell And Microstructural Characteristics Of High-Plasticity Clay Blended With Cement" Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10064-019-01621-z
- [17] Kadyali L.R., Lal N.B. "Principles and Practices of Highway Engineering". 5th Edition; Delhi: Khanna Publishers, (2008).
- [18] Rochigo C.K., Kleber F.P., Mariana O.G.P., Marcelle M.B. "Banana Leaves Ashes as Pozzolans for Concrete and Mortar of Portland Cement", Construction and Building Materials, Volume 54, (2013).